UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN SOFFICE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS OF FICE

05-1	1877 REXTONNO.:26	
AALAELDIN KHIRAWI	OLD IRICA OF MASS.	
Plaintiff		
v. GETRONICS WANG CO., LLC a/k/a and d/b/a GETRONICS	MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dein RECEIPT # 66940 AMOUNT \$25 SUMMONS ISSUED 4 LOCAL RULE 4.1	
Defendants	) WAIVER FORM \_\ ) MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. CLK. \_OTY\	
COMPI	AINT AND HIRV CLAIM	_

NOW COMES Aalaeldin Khirawi, the plaintiff in the above-entitled action, and hereby states as follows:

## STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

- 1. This is a civil rights action based on unlawful retaliation resulting from a complaint of discrimination.
- 2. The unlawful retaliation was perpetrated by the employer against its employee in violation of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., and in violation of M.G.L. Chapter 151B, §1, et seq.
- 3. The unlawful practices were committed in the Federal District of Massachusetts, this Court's judicial district.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to the provisions of 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(3) and 28 U.S.C. 1331.

- 5. Plaintiff has asserted claims and requests for relief pursuant to Massachusetts law that arise from the same facts and circumstances as Plaintiff's claims and requests for relief pursuant to Federal law.
- The Court has Supplemental Jurisdiction of Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28
   U.S.C. 1367.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. The Plaintiff in this action is Aalaeldin Khirawi, an individual, and a United States citizen now or formerly residing at 16 Chase Avenue, Manchester, New Hampshire.
- 8. Defendant Getronics Wang Co. LLC, a/k/a and d/b/a Getronics ("Getronics") is a duly organized, limited liability company registered to do business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and is an employer as defined by 42 U.S.C. 2000e and Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 151B, Section 1, now or formerly having a usual place of business located at 836 North Street, Tewksbury, Middlesex County, Massachusetts and at 290 Concord Road, Billerica, Massachusetts, and having an agent for service of process of CT Corporation Systems, 101 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, which at all times relevant to this Complaint had more than fifteen employees.
- 9. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant Getronics.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 10. At all times during his employment with Getronics, Plaintiff was qualified for the position and capacity in which he was employed.
- 11. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Getronics had an obligation and duty to provide the plaintiff with a work place free from discrimination and retaliation.
- 12. Plaintiff worked for Getronics in a temporary capacity for approximately 18 months, until he was hired as permanently.
- 13. Plaintiff's employment experience and work evaluations with Getronics were both positive prior to his complaints of discrimination.
- 14. During the year 2003, Plaintiff applied for an internal promotion and was given the title of Quality Assurance Representative and promised an increase in salary to \$38,000 \$40,000.
- 15. Plaintiff never received the promised increase in salary.
- 16. When Plaintiff inquired about Getronics' failure to increase his salary, his immediate supervisor advised him that the decision was based on his race.
- 17. In late 2003, Plaintiff did receive an increase in salary to \$36,000, less than the promised increase.
- 18. When Getronics failed to increase his salary as promised, and when it became clear to Plaintiff that Getronics was treating him different because of his race, Plaintiff lodged an internal complaint of discrimination.
- 19. Getronics retaliated against Plaintiff because of his internal complaints of discrimination, and repeatedly gave him warnings and threatened his employment if he continued to assert his claims of discrimination.

- 20. Getronics repeatedly subjected Plaintiff to unwarranted disciplinary action, including a written warning on the same day that his supervisor gave him a positive performance evaluation.
- When Getronics failed to adequately respond to his internal complaint of discrimination, 21. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on June 10, 2004.
- 22. The EEOC issued its initial decision on October 8, 2004.
- 23. Getronics fired Plaintiff on October 26, 2004, effective October 27, 2004. The respondent's termination letter referenced a written warning dated October 26, 2004, which warning referenced alleged performance issues in September 2004 (prior to the EEOC's notice of action) as alleged justification for the termination.
- 24. Getronics' articulated reasons for Plaintiff's termination are false and a pretext for retaliation against Plaintiff because of his internal and EEOC complaints of discrimination and other activity protected under M.G.L. Chapter 151B and Title VII.
- 25. Getronics' retaliatory conduct was ongoing and continuous until his termination on October 27, 2004.
- 26. On or about April 11, 2005, Plaintiff filed a Complaint and Charge of Retaliation against Getronics with the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, which was duly cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission.
- 27. In the Complaint filed with the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination and cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, Plaintiff alleged that Getronics terminated his employment and otherwise subjected him negative unwarranted treatment in retaliation for his protected activity.

- 28. Pursuant to its work-sharing agreement with the Equal Employment Opportunities

  Commission, the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination investigated Plaintiff's

  Complaint of discrimination against Getronics.
- 29. On or about July 20, 2005, the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission issued the Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue authorizing him to file the instant civil action.

#### COUNT I - RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 30. Plaintiff restates, realleges and incorporates by reference herein allegations one through twenty nine of this Complaint.
- 31. Getronics unlawfully retaliated against the plaintiff because of and on account of his protected activity under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., as amended.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Getronics unlawful retaliation, in violation of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., as amended, Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur substantial loss of salary, earnings and wages, earning capacity and fringe benefits, has suffered emotional distress and anguish of mind, and has suffered, and will continue to suffer, other damages as he will show at trial.
- 33. Plaintiff complied with the requirement(s) of 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(e)(1) when he properly and in a timely manner filed a complaint with the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, said Complaint which was cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, said complaint alleging that Getronics subjected him to unlawful retaliation.
- 34. Plaintiff has duly, properly and in a timely manner notified the Equal Employment

  Opportunities Commission of his intention to file this Complaint and to seek relief in this Court.
- 35. The Equal Employment Opportunities Commission has issued Plaintiff a Notice of his Right to Sue that authorizes Plaintiff to bring this private civil action against Getronics.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment against Getronics and:

- Declare that Getronics' conduct violated the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq.;
- Enjoin Getronics from subjecting Plaintiff to further discrimination and retaliation; b.
- Issue a mandatory injunction compelling Getronics to provide training to its employees, officers and agents, designed to eliminate, prevent and reduce discrimination and retaliation;
- d. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages in the amount of \$1,500,000.00;
- Award Plaintiff multiple damages;
- Award Plaintiff punitive damages; f.
- Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, statutory interest, and the costs of this action;
- Issue such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT II - RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF M.G.L. CHAPTER 151B, SECTION 4

- 36. Plaintiff restates, realleges and incorporates by reference herein allegations one through thirty five of this Complaint.
- Getronics unlawfully retaliated against the plaintiff because of and on account of his 37. protected activity under the Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 151B, Section 4.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Getronics' unlawful retaliation in violation of M.G.L. Chapter 151B, Section 4, Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur substantial loss of wages, salary and earnings, earning capacity and fringe benefits, has suffered emotional distress and anguish of mind, and has suffered, and will continue to suffer, other damages as he will show at trial.

- 39. Plaintiff complied with the requirement(s) of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 151B, Section 5 when he properly and in a timely manner filed a complaint with the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination, said complaint alleging that Getronics subjected him to unlawful retaliation.
- More than ninety days have passed since Plaintiff filed a Complaint with the Massachusetts 40. Commission Against Discrimination.
- 41. The Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination has authorized the plaintiff to bring this private cause of action.
- 42. Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 151B, Section 9 authorizes Plaintiff to bring this private civil action against Getronics.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment against Getronics and:

- a. Declare that Getronics' conduct violated Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 151B, Section 4, as amended;
- b. Enjoin Getronics from subjecting Plaintiff to discrimination and retaliation;
- c. Issue a mandatory injunction compelling Getronics to provide training to its agents, employees and officers designed to eliminate, prevent and reduce discrimination and retaliation;
- d. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages in the amount of \$1,500,000.00;
- Award Plaintiff multiple damages;
- Award Plaintiff punitive damages;
- Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, statutory interest, and the costs of this action;
- h. Issue such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE

Respectfully submitted,

For the plaintiff

Sol J. Cohen BBO # 630776 COHEN & SALES 43 Thorndike Street Cambridge, MA 02141 (617) 621-1151

	4.05 44077.WOV D		O E'I 10	0/45/0005 B	4. (0			
SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04) Case 1:05-cv-11877-W@YVIPoconverte SHETE ited 09/15/2005 Page 1 of 2								
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the local rules of court. This form he civil docket sheet. (SEE INST	ne information contained her peither replace nor sun, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United TRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	ipplement th States in Se	ne filing and service of eptember 1974, is requ	ple gs or other papers as red fired for the use of the Clerk of	quired by law, except as provided Court for the purpose of initiating			
i. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS					
Aalaeldin Khirawi			Getronics Wang Co., LLC, d/b/a Getronics					
(b) County of Residence of (EX	f First Listed Plaintiff (New Hampshire) CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Middlesex (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known) CARRIED OF MASS.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known) STRICT OF MASS.					
Sol J. Cohen, Cohen & 17-621-1151	Sales, 43 Thorndike St., Cambridge, MA	02141,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif							
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question  (U.S. Government Not a Party)	•		TF In Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi				
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity  Defendant		Citizen	of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A				
			or Subject of a	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
V. NATURE OF SUIT			***					
CONTRACT	TORTS		TURE/PENATES	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES.			
3   110 Insurance   PERSONAL INJURY   PERSONAL INJURY   120 Marine   310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury - 315 Airplane   365 Personal Injury - 315 Miller Act   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   330 Federal Employers'   Liability   151 Medicare Act   330 Federal Employers'   Liability   Liability   PERSONAL PROPERTY   340 Marine   370 Other Fraud		☐ 620 ☐ 625 ☐ 630 ☐ 640 ☐ 650	Agriculture Other Force, Drug Drug Related Seizure of Pebr by 21 USC 881 Liquor Liws R.R. & Truck Aimne Regs.	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and			
			Occupational Sufety/Health Other	☐ 840 Trademark				

61/-021-11JI							
I. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)					
J i U.S. Government Plaintiff	2 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State		PTF DEF incipal Place			
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A				
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
V. NATURE OF SI	JIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)						
CONTRACT	HE INDUSTRIAL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	FORREITURE/PENALEX	BANKRUPTCY	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF			
J 110 Insurance J 120 Marine J 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury □ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic	- G20 Other Food Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment     410 Antitrust     430 Banks and Banking			
<ul><li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li><li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li></ul>	Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation			
& Enforcement of Judgm J 151 Medicare Act J 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	□ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability □ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE	☐ 650 Aisine Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational RTY Stety/Health	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV			
(Excl. Veterans)  J 153 Recovery of Overpayme of Veteran's Benefits  J 160 Stockholders' Suits  J 190 Other Contract  J 195 Contract Product Liabili	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage	EABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards  Act  720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	SOCIAL SECURITY      861 HIA (1395ff)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange    875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act			
1 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation  220 Foreclosure	Injury  Injury  Injury  Injury  PRISONER PETITION  441 Voting  3510 Motions to Vaca  Sentence  Sentence	& Disclosure Act  740 Railway Labor Act  790 Other Labor Litigation  791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)				
<ul> <li>3 230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectmen</li> <li>3 240 Torts to Land</li> <li>3 245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>3 290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	Accommodations		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determinatio Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of			
****	440 Other Civil Rights			State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN Driginal Proceeding	lace an "X" in One Box Only)  Removed from State Court  State Court  Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transfanothe (speci	ferred from				
VI. CAUSE OF AC	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 USC 2000e	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):				
	Unlaw to termination of	employment in a	etaliation for	Protected activity			
VII. REQUESTED COMPLAINT:	IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$ 1,500,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: 分本分 ☑ Yes ☐ No			
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	ASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD	J .				
OR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	DGE			

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS



1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only)

Khirawi v. Getronics Wang Co., LLC, d/b/a Getronics

							1.555 - 1.559 1.555 - 1.559	; r	T) 0.10	t·	
2.	Catego 40.1(a)(		the case belongs l	pased upon the	numbered nature of	suit code l	isted on th	neicivil c	over⁄she⁄e	t⊃ (See local	rule
		1.	160, 410, 470, R.2	3, REGARDLES	SS OF NATURE OF S	UIT.	1.8. 913 115 1 210		COUR!		
	X	II.			540, 550, 555, 625, 7 50, 890, 892-894, 895			omplete	AO 120 o	r AO 121 copyright ca	ses
		III.		, 345, 350, 355	, 230, 240, 245, 290, 3 , 360, 362, 365, 370, 3	71,				~~ [X	,
		· IV.	220, 422, 423, 430 690, 810, 861-865	,	, 610, 620, 630, 640, 6 900.	50, 660,		~ 7	7	Rul	
		V.	150, 152, 153.			4	I	ď '			
3.			if any, of related ca licate the title and r		al rule 40.1(g) hme irst filed case is this	🕊 than one	prior relat	ed case	has been	filed in this	
		None									
4.	Has a p	orior action	between the same	parties and ba	sed on the same cla	m ever bee YES	n filed in t	his cour	[2] [3]		
5.		ne complai	nt in this case ques	tion the const	itutionality of an act o	of congress	affecting	the publ	ic interest	? (See 28 U	sc
	§2403)					VEC	П	NO.	M		
	If so, is	the U.S.A	or an officer, agen	t or employee	of the U.S. a party?	YES		NO	<u></u>		
						YES		NO			
6.	Is this	case requi	red to be heard and	determined by	a district court of th	ree judges YES	pursuant t	to title 28 NO	USC §22	84?	
7.		•			nmental agencies of g in Massachusetts						l)).
		Α.	If yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of th	e non-governmental p	arties reside	?				
			Eastern Division		Central Division	, 🗖		Weste	ern Divisio	n 🔲	
		В.	If no, in which divis in Massachusetts		ority of the plaintiffs or	the only part	ies, exclud	ling gove	mmental a	gencies, resi	ding
			Eastern Division		Central Division	. 🗆	÷	West	ern Divisio	n 🔲	
8.			Removal - are there ntifying the motions)		nding in the state cour	t requiring th	ne attentior	of this C	Court? (If )	es, submit a	
(Pi	EASET	YPE OR PI	TIAIC			YES		NO			
•		YPE OR PI I'S NAME	,	Cohen & Sales							
			dike St., Cambridge,								
			617-621-1151							,	